1 (Trial resumed)

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

25

2 | (In open court; jury not present)

THE COURT: Good morning. Please be seated.

I have a note from the jury which has been marked as Court Exhibit 9.

Has the government reviewed the note?

MR. LI: We have, your Honor.

THE COURT: Have the defendant and his lawyers reviewed the note?

MS. GALLICCHIO: Yes, your Honor. We have.

get your input. I'm going to bring the jury into the courtroom and say something along these lines, subject to hearing what you all have to say, I have received the jury's note at 10:32 a.m.: At the conclusion of my remarks, I will order that the portions of the videos of defendant's interview in the FBI office that have been received into evidence be played in the courtroom.

Now, is there a separate transcript for these interviews?

MR. LI: Your Honor, transcripts were made and provided to the jury of these interviews. They were admitted as aids to the jury, and they did go back to the jury room with them. We can play them with the video simultaneously.

THE COURT: And when you play the video, there is a

1 | transcript that pops up?

2.3

MR. LI: Yes, your Honor. It is the same transcript.

THE COURT: As to the transcript, do you have a transcript number for it?

MR. LI: I believe it's 9T. 9T, your Honor.

THE COURT: So I would say, the transcript of the conversations should be in the jury room as Government Exhibit 9T. But you can play them for the jury in the manner that you played them during the trial which has the little video up there with words over it.

MR. LI: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: Then I propose to say: "If you wish any portion of defendant's testimony, please send the Court a note describing the portion of the testimony you wish. Please be as specific as possible as to the portion you wish.

With regard to the questions for FBI

Special Agent Jensen, which reads: "May you please clarify the 'six-minute' conversation between you and Mr. Bright," I propose to say: "There was no testimony about a six-minute conversation between Agent Jensen and Mr. Bright. There was testimony on Ms. Jensen's direct testimony concerning six seconds of content.

"Further, the Court advises you that the evidentiary portion of the trial has been concluded and that further questions of a witness, whether Ms. Jensen or Mr. Bright, may

1 | not be asked."

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

25

MS. GALLICCHIO: Judge, can you clarify the six-second, where in the transcript it is.

THE COURT: Page 72.

MS. GALLICCHIO: Thank you.

THE COURT: I do believe that it was misstated in closing. It was described in the closing, I believe, as six minutes. In the transcript, the testimony was six seconds.

MS. GALLICCHIO: Okay. I wasn't sure what she was referring to, what the juror was referring to, that portion. Got it.

Did I misquote it?

THE COURT: I'm not getting into that right now.

MS. GALLICCHIO: I'm just curious.

THE COURT: And I haven't looked. No one has asked me to look, but that's my recollection, that it was referred to in the closing as six minutes. There is no six-minute conversation. It's six seconds.

MS. GALLICCHIO: Your Honor, the only other point that I would make with respect to question number 3, copy of defendant's testimony transcript --

THE COURT: Yes.

MS. GALLICCHIO: -- it does appear that they're requesting the entire transcript.

THE COURT: Yes.

1 MS. GALLICCHIO: So our position would be that the 2 entire transcript should go back to them. 3 THE COURT: As a question of trial management, I think 4 that is not an appropriate way to proceed during jury 5 deliberations any more than for jurors to say, as we begin our 6 deliberations, send back the transcripts. 7 For one thing, it requires redactions and is time 8 consuming so that we do not have an error or mistake. I am not 9 telling them that we will not. I'm saying: "If you wish any 10 portion of defendant's testimony, please send the Court a note describing the portion of the testimony you wish. Please be as 11 specific as you can as to the portion you wish." 12 13 If the jury comes back and says, we don't want a 14 portion, then I will deal with at that time; we want the whole 15 thing, then I will deal with it. 16 Anything from the government? 17 MR. LI: No, your Honor. 18 THE COURT: All right. Anything else from the 19 defendant? 20 MS. GALLICCHIO: No. I don't think so, your Honor. 21 THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. 22 So bring our jurors in, please.

2.3

24

1 (In open court; jury present)

2.3

THE COURT: Please be seated.

Good morning, ladies and gentlemen. I hope you had a good weekend. I don't know where you were yesterday, but where I was, the weather turned out to be pretty nice. The sun was shining. There was not a strong breeze. It was kind of comfortable outside. I hope you had an opportunity to rest and relax.

And of course I know you, like all of us, still have laundry, parents, children, family, friends, chores, repairs, food shopping, and the like. We all do.

So I received your note at 10:32 a.m. And at the conclusion of my remarks, I will order that the portions of the videos of defendant's interview in the FBI office that have been received into evidence be played here in the courtroom.

The transcript of the conversations or transcripts are, I am advised, at Government Exhibit 9T which should be in the jury room. If you'll recall, when they were played in open court, there was a video portion and there were words over the video portion. Transcripts and those words over the video portion are just an aid to assist you in listening. It's the words that appear that control.

Now, if you wish any portion of defendant's testimony, please send the Court a note describing the portion of the testimony you wish. Please be as specific as possible.

525 K2 EXSELT:19-cr-00521-PKC Document 59 Filed 03/05/20 Page 7 of 17 Now, with regard to the questions for FBI 1 2 Special Agent Jensen, it reads: "May you please clarify the 3 'six-minute' conversation between you and Mr. Bright." 4 The transcript of this trial reflects that there was no six-minute conversation in the testimony. The testimony 5 6 was, with regard to the cell phone recording, that there was "six seconds" of contents. 7 8 Now, the Court advises you that the evidentiary 9 portion of the trial has been concluded and that further 10 questions of a witness, whether Special Agent Jensen or 11 Mr. Bright, may not be asked. 12 At this point, I will direct the playing of the video 13 interviews that you requested. 14 JUROR: I left my notepad. 15 THE COURT: Do you want to go back and get it? 16 JUROR: Please. 17 THE COURT: Absolutely. Take your time. Anyone else 18 who wants to do the same thing, please. Take your time. Don't 19 Take it nice and easy. run. 20 (Pause) 21

THE COURT: Okay. Whenever you're ready, you may play the tapes.

You'll let me know right away if it doesn't come up on your screen.

(Video played)

22

2.3

24

526 K2 EXSELT19-cr-00521-PKC Document 59 Filed 03/05/20 Page 8 of 17 1 THE COURT: Is there a way to turn the volume up? 2 (Video played) 3 MR. LI: I think that's it, your Honor. 4 THE COURT: All right. Thank you very much, ladies 5 and gentlemen. You may return to the jury room. 6 (Jury deliberations resumed at 11:41 a.m.) 7 THE COURT: We are adjourned. Thank you. 8 (Recess pending verdict) 9 (In open court; jury not present) 10 THE COURT: Please be seated. We have a note from the jury that was received at 11 12:31. It reads as follows -- and I'm going to redact this --12 13 "We are at an impasse." And then it does expressly what the 14 Court directed the jury not to do. It indicates how they are 15 split, what the vote is. And then it says: "Everyone is not 16 budging, " and it's signed Robert Sullivan, juror number 1, 17 foreman. 18 What I propose to do is to take this note, put it in a

What I propose to do is to take this note, put it in a sealed envelope, mark it as a court exhibit, and then prepare a redacted note which eliminates the material that the jury should not have disclosed.

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Then I propose to call the jury into the courtroom and repeat to them what I said to them in the jury instructions, typed text, pages 31 on to 32, and see what they do next, cautioning them, again, not to reveal how the jurors are split.

1 (In open court; jury present)

2.3

THE COURT: Please be seated.

Ladies and gentlemen, I have your note indicating that there is an impasse. I have the following to say, and I ask you to listen to my words:

It is your duty as jurors to consult with one another and to deliberate with a view to reaching an agreement. Each of you must decide the case for himself or herself, but you should do so only after a consideration of the case with your fellow jurors. And you should not hesitate to change an opinion when convinced it is erroneous.

Your verdict must be unanimous, but you're not bound to surrender your conscientiously held beliefs concerning the effect or weight of the evidence for the mere purpose of returning a verdict solely because of the opinion of other jurors.

Discuss and weigh your respective opinions dispassionately, without regard to sympathy, without regard to prejudice or favor for either party, and adopt the conclusion that in your good conscience, appears to be in accordance with the evidence and the Court's instructions on the law.

Please remember. You are not partisans. You are judges, judges of the facts, not representatives of a cause or constituency.

Now, you will recall that I indicated that if you find

yourselves divided, do not inform the Court how the jurors are split, what the vote is. And I ask you to comply with that direction.

You may return to the jury room to continue your deliberations. Thank you, ladies and gentlemen.

(Jury deliberations resumed at 12:55 p.m.)

THE COURT: Please be seated.

What I propose to do is talk to you about an Allen charge, if it should come to pass that one is needed, obviously a modified Allen charge.

(Pause)

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

25

THE COURT: I'm going to have marked as the next Court exhibit a proposed modified Allen charge in the event that is necessary. Obviously I'm doing this on a contingent basis, but you can take a look at it. We are adjourned.

(Recess pending verdict)

(In open court; jury not present)

THE COURT: Please be seated.

I have a note from the jury that says: "Unable to reach a consensus." It came in at 3:35.

What's the government's suggestion?

MR. LI: Your Honor, we have no objection to the modified Allen charge proposed by the Court.

THE COURT: Ms. Gallicchio?

MS. GALLICCHIO: Your Honor, at this time, we would be

requesting that the Court declare a mistrial. The jury declared at 12:31 that they were at an impasse. The Court gave them further instructions. They've been deliberating for three hours and are still at that impasse.

So at this point, we would be objecting to an Allen charge and requesting a mistrial.

THE COURT: All right. The fact of the matter is I really didn't give them further instructions. I repeated the instructions I gave them which they already had. I'm inclined to give them the Allen charge and see what we have as a result.

Any objections to the language of the Allen charge which is marked as Court Exhibit 12?

MS. GALLICCHIO: Your Honor, we have a suggestion, additional language, we would ask the Court to consider, in addition to either with respect to page 2, the paragraph starting, "In the course of your deliberations," we would ask the Court to consider either substituting the language we would hand up to the Court or adding this language at the end of the charge. And I have a copy for the government and the Court.

THE COURT: All right. Let me hear from the government.

MR. LI: Your Honor, we think the charge the Court has proposed captures the law. It is balanced. It's a balanced charge, and we think everything that is in the defense's proposal is already captured by the existing proposal by the

24

1 (In open court; jury present)

2.3

THE COURT: Please be seated.

Ladies and gentlemen, no juror should surrender his or her honest conviction as to the weight or the effect of the evidence, even for the purpose of returning a verdict.

It is normal for jurors to have differences. This is quite common. Frequently jurors, after extended discussions, may find that a point of view, which originally represented a fair and considered judgment, might well yield upon the basis of argument and upon the facts and the evidence of the.

However -- and I emphasize this -- no juror must vote for any verdict unless, after full discussion and consideration of the issues and an exchange of views, it does represent his or her considered judgment.

This trial has been conducted at considerable expense and human effort to both the government and the defendant. If your deliberations do not end in a verdict, in all likelihood, this case would have to be tried again before another jury, a jury that would have to be selected in the same manner you were.

There is no reason to believe that this case would be better presented or would reveal any more or different evidence than you have heard. Nor is there any reason to believe that 12 different people would be more impartial or pay more attention to the evidence or make a greater effort to resolve

K2CaseR1:19-cr-00521-PKC Document 59 Filed 03/05/20 Page 15 of 17 the issues.

Each juror who finds himself or herself holding a minority view should consider his or her view in light of the opinion of the jurors in the majority. Conversely, each juror finding himself or herself in the majority should consider his or her views in light of the opinion of the jurors in the minority.

Further consideration by each juror may indicate that a change in original attitude is fully justified on the law and all the facts. In the course of your deliberations, you should not hesitate to re-examine your own views with candor and frankness and change your own opinion if you're convinced it's wrong.

Each of you should give attention to the views of others, respect them, and listen to each other's arguments and keep your own views under continuing review. But, again, no juror should surrender his or her honestly held views, even for the purpose of returning a unanimous verdict.

You may now return to the jury room to continue your deliberations. Thank you.

(Continued on next page)

22

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.3

24

THE COURT: Have a very pleasant evening. See you